ABSTRACT: Effective targeting of breast tumors is critical for improving therapeutic outcomes in breast cancer treatment. Additionally, hypoxic breast cancers are difficult to treat due to resistance toward chemotherapeutics, poor vascularity, and enhanced angiogenesis, which complicate effective drug delivery and therapeutic response. Addressing this formidable challenge requires designing a drug delivery system capable of targeted delivery of the anticancer agent, inhibition of efflux pump, and suppression of the tumor angiogenesis. Here, we have introduced Palbociclib (PCB)-loaded PLGA nanoparticles (NPs) consisting of chitosan-folate (CS-FOL) for folate receptor-targeted breast cancer therapy. The developed NPs were below 219 nm with a smooth, spherical surface shape. The entrapment efficiencies of NPs were achieved up to 85.78 ± 1.8%. Targeted NPs demonstrated faster drug release at pH 5.5, which potentiated the therapeutic efficacy of NPs due to the acidic microenvironment of breast cancer. In vitro cellular uptake study in MCF-7 cells confirmed the receptor-mediated endocytosis of targeted NPs. In vivo ultrasound and photoacoustic imaging studies on rats with hypoxic breast cancer showed that targeted NPs significantly reduced tumor growth and hypoxic tumor volume, and suppressed angiogenesis.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The most common kind of malignancy among women is breast cancer, which results from estrogen/progesterone receptor mutations.1 Most people who have this disease are women who are at least 50 years old. Men have a very low probability of developing breast cancer, while it does occur frequently in women.2 Globally, mammary cancer in women has already overtaken pulmonary cancer as the leading cause of cancer incidence in 2020, with 2.3 million new cases or 11.7% of cancer cases and 6.9% of cancer deaths.3 Breast cancer is categorized into numerous groups based on several factors, such as the origin, treatment response, molecular characteristics, and clinical presentation. Breast cancer may be estrogen receptor-positive, progesterone receptor-positive, or human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer.4,5 The treatment of breast cancer involves partial mastectomy or complete mastectomy, followed by radiotherapy. However, the complete mastectomy may prolong the survival of patients.6 Chemotherapy may be used after mastectomy to eliminate the breast cancer cells completely.7 Although chemotherapy extends the survival of cancer patients, there are various drawbacks to delivering it to the patient. The drawbacks associated with traditional anticancer agents include the inability to distinguish between tumor and healthy tissue and drug resistance. Nanotechnology is widely employed to overcome these drawbacks, and it also reduces dosage quantity as well as adverse effects.8 Moreover, nanocarriers selectively deliver the drug within targeted tissues.9,10 Several properties of polymeric NPs make them suitable for sustained and controlled drug delivery systems, including drug protection, biocompatibility, and biodegradability.11,12 Polymeric NPs are easy to synthesize, purify, and functionalize, and they possess target-specific drug delivery characteristics.13,14 Palbociclib (PCB) is used to treat metastatic or advanced HR-positive or HER2-negative mammary tumors in combination with other anticancer drugs.15 Palbociclib selectively inhibits the cyclin-dependent kinase CDK4/6. The growth and division of cancer cells are
stimulated by CDK4 and CDK6 proteins. Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) is a US-FDA-approved polymeric material that is widely employed in drug delivery systems due to biocompatibility, minimal toxicity, sustained and controlled release behavior. PLGA is a biodegradable polymeric material hydrolyzed into its monomeric form (lactic acid and glycolic acid) by esterases. Each monomeric unit is metabolized by the body in regular metabolic pathways such as lactic acid entering into the tricarboxylic acid cycle and glycolic acid converted to glycine. Chitosan is a polycationic biopolymer with broad biomedical applications due to its distinctive chemical behavior, positive charge, and presence of reactive hydroxyl and amino groups. Chitosan is biodegradable, biocompatible, and bioadhesive in nature, degraded by lysozymes, with further metabolism, it will be excreted from the body. D-α-tocopheryl polyethylene glycol 1000 succinate (Vitamin E TPGS or TPGS) is a new nonionic surfactant with amphipathic capabilities. Its abilities to emulsify, disperse, gel, and solubilize poorly water-soluble medicines have been the subject of extensive research. TPGS has been used to combat multidrug resistance and enhance the oral bioavailability of various anticancer medications. TPGS is the FDA-recognized safe pharmaceutical excipient, and numerous TPGS-based drug delivery systems have been reported. TPGS easily break down into Vitamin E and PEG, which are further metabolized in the liver by CYP4F2, and are excreted in bile and urine. 7,12-Dimethylbenz[a]-anthracene (DMBA) is a potent carcinogen, and when administered subcutaneously to the breast pad of the female rat, it produces a breast tumor of stable size within two months. DMBA-induced breast tumors are hypoxic in nature and pose difficulties in treatment with conventional therapy. Additionally, previous studies reported that breast cancer is overexpressed with the Folate receptor (FRα), and 30–40% of breast cancer cells had FRα overexpression. The expression of the FRα related to the higher metabolic demand of folic acid (FOL) for DNA synthesis and repair.

FOL gets metabolized by dihydrofolate reductase and then tetrahydrofolate. Hence, designing a drug delivery system having FRα targeting ligand can significantly boost the receptor-based cellular internalization of the loaded drug content. Furthermore, real-time monitoring of the distribution of nanoformulation at targeted tumor sites requires loading of nanoformulation with an imaging/contract agent. Methylen blue (MB) is a versatile dye that has been explored for various imaging studies due to its biocompatibility nature and strong absorption in the near-infrared (NIR) region. Hence, nanoformulation loaded with MB serves as a tool for tracking the homing of the delivery system within the tumor. Ultrasound and photoacoustic imaging system is the preferred noninvasive imaging system for locating the distribution of the MB or MB-loaded nanoformulation at the tumor site. Recently, Rajana et al., developed FOL-functionalized lipopolymeric nanoparticles for the delivery of PCB to breast cancer cell lines. This study explored the in vitro efficacy of the developed formulation. However, the formulation does not have diagnostic properties and was not evaluated for in vivo efficacy. In another study, Dhamija et al. developed PCB-loaded redox-sensitive NPs as a smart drug delivery for breast cancer treatments. However, this study explored the therapeutic efficacy in vitro and in vivo models, but the designed delivery system was not for active targeting of overexpressed folate receptors in breast cancer.

In this research article, we aimed to study the targeting effects of the PCB-loaded, folate receptor-targeted PLGA NPs in close comparison with those of nontargeted NPs. It was hypothesized that the incorporation of a chitosan-folate (CS-FOL) conjugate to PLGA NPs enhances the therapeutic efficacy and targeting capability of PCB in breast cancer therapy. Specifically, the chitosan component of the CS-FOL coating plays a crucial role in improving NPs stability, cellular uptake, and controlled drug release, while also providing a nonhemolytic and biocompatible delivery system. First, we synthesized nontargeted and targeted nanoformulation by a single emulsification-solvent evaporation technique. Different physicochemical properties of prepared NPs were characterized, such as zeta potential, particle size, polydispersity index (PDI), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), etc. All of the results established that the prepared NPs are of appropriate size and shape. Furthermore, we performed X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) studies. Safety assessment of prepared NPs with blood and a hemolytic assay was also performed. Prepared NPs were nonhemolytic to human blood, and they proved to be safe. After that, cellular uptake, in vitro cytotoxicity, apoptosis, and histopathology studies were also conducted. In addition, DMBA-induced breast cancer rats were used to assess the in vivo targeting efficiency and anticancer effectiveness of the NPs by using ultrasound/photoacoustic techniques.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials. From Sun Pharma, a gift sample of palbociclib (PCB) was obtained. PLGA (50:50) was obtained from Sigma Aldrich. 1-Ethyl-3-(3-(dimethylamino)propyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) and high molecular weight chitosan (MW 30 k Da, based on viscosity, degree of deacetylation ≥90%) were procured from Sisco Research Laboratory Pvt. Ltd., Antares Health Products, Inc. offered D-alpha-tocopheryl-polyethylene glycol-1000-succinate (TPGS) was obtained as a gift sample. The folic acid (FA) supplier was Loba Chemie Pvt. Ltd. Mumbai, India. Spectrum Laboratories Inc. provided a 1KDa molecular weight dialysis membrane (Spectra/Port). Ethanol and dimethyl sulfoxide were purchased from Merck in Darmstadt, Germany. The MCF-7 cell line was procured from NCCS Pune, India. Cell clone (Geneticx Biotech Asia Pvt. Ltd) provided 12-well cell culture plate, 6-well culture plate, T-25 cell culture flasks. DMEM, PBS, Trypsin-EDTA, and Penicillin-Streptomycin (antibiotic) solution were purchased from Gibco. Additionally, 96 cell plates and T-25 cell culture flasks were procured from Eppendorf. In addition, only pure analytical-grade chemicals and reagents were used.

2.2. Methods. 2.2.1. Synthesis of Chitosan-folate (CS-FOL) Conjugate. Cross-linked CS-FOL was prepared by employing carbodiimide cross-linking with minor changes to already described processes. An EDC (76 mg) and FA (44 mg) were solubilized in anhydrous DMSO (15 ml) and agitated at room temperature for 1 h. CS (153 mg) was dissolved in pH 4.7 acetate buffer to prepare a 0.6% (w/v) chitosan solution. Chitosan solution was transferred to the FA solution and agitated for 16 h in the dark. After that, aqueous NaOH was incorporated dropwise into the solution mentioned above, until the pH reached 9.0. The resulting preparation was centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant was discarded, the formed pellets were collected and washed with distilled water twice, and the resultant brownish precipitate formed was stored in the refrigerator. 2.2.2. CS-FOL Characterization. Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) technique was employed to analyze the synthesized CS-FOL conjugate.
2.2.3. Degree of Folate Conjugation. A multimode microplate reader was used to assess the amount of FOL conjugation to CS. In brief, CS-FOL conjugate (2 mg) was stirred for 6 h in a 10 mL combination of dichloromethane and dimethyl sulfoxide (1:4). UV spectroscopy was used to analyze the supernatant of the centrifuged samples. The FOL calibration curve was employed to determine the amount of folate at a wavelength of 282 nm wavelength. The following formula was used to calculate the amount of FOL conjugation:

\[
\text{Amount of FOL conjugation} = \frac{\text{Amount of folate measured within NPs}}{\text{Total folate content used in NPs}} \times 100
\]

where \(c\) is the FOL concentration measured by UV spectroscopy, \(M\) is the folic acid’s molar mass, \(\text{csf}\) is the total amount of CS-FOL consumed, and \(m\) is the molar mass of one unit of CS.\(^{41}\)

2.2.4. Synthesis of Nontargeted NPs (PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs) and Targeted NPs (PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs). The emulsification and solvent evaporation technique was used to prepare nontargeted PCB-loaded PLGA NPs (PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs) and folate receptor targeted NPs (PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs). Briefly, the organic phase was made by dissolving 20 mg of PLGA and 3 mg of PCB in 2 mL of DCM. The 2.5 mL of glacial acetic acid has been further diluted to 7.5 mL, containing 5 mg of TPGS and 8.75 mg of CS. The aqueous phase was mixed with the organic phase, and then the resultant mixture was ultrasonicated for 4 min using a probe-sonicator. The solvent was evaporated from the resulting emulsion by stirring it with a magnetic stirrer set at 710 rpm. A similar method was used to prepare targeted NPs. In this preparation, 5 mg of chitosan folate and 3.75 mg of solvent, the produced emulsion was stirred for 2 h using a magnetic stirrer at 710 rpm. A similar method was used to prepare targeted PCB-loaded folate-targeted NPs. MB-PLGA-CS-NPs: Methylene blue-loaded non-targeted NPs. MB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs: Methylene blue-loaded targeted NPs. CM6-PLGA-CS-NPs: Coumarin 6 loaded non-targeted NPs. CM6-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs: Coumarin 6 loaded targeted NPs.

2.3. Characterization of Nanoparticles. 2.3.1. Zeta Potential and Size Distribution of Nanoparticles. Zeta potential (\(\zeta\)) and size distribution of nontargeted and targeted NPs were measured using a Zeta-sizer Nano ZS (DLS, Nano ZS90, Malvern Panalytical, UK) with a 4.0 mV He–Ne laser (633 nm). Measurements were made in triplicate using a solution’s refractive index of 1.49 and an absorption of 0.010 at 25 ± 0.1 °C. Run durations and run counts were automatically determined. Electrophoretic light scattering (ELS) technology with Nano ZS (Malvern, Worcestershire, UK) was used to calculate the surface charge and zeta potential value of nanoparticles. The experiment was conducted at 25 ± 0.1 °C in a folded capillary cell. Measurements were taken in triplicate at an absorption of 0.010 and a refractive index of 1.49. The voltage selections and measurement durations were both set to automatic. After diluting (five times) the samples with ultrapure water, all measurements were taken at a 90° scattering angle.

2.3.2. Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy. PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs surface morphologies were examined using FEI (SEA.) Pvt. Ltd. USA company’s Nova Nano SEM 450 instrument. SEM images were acquired at 15 kV voltage and 100–250 KX magnification. Each sample was diluted five times with milli-Q water before being placed on a microscope slide and then dried at 40 °C for the entire night in a forced-air circulating oven. The carbon-coated slides were subjected to SEM imaging.

2.3.3. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). TEM instrument of Tecnai G2 20 TWIM was employed to assess the structural characteristics of PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs. Prepared NP formulations were sonicated for 2 min after five dilutions with Millipore water. Afterward, a single drop of diluted sample was applied on carbon-coated TEM grids, dried for 24 h, under vacuum, and then observed under TEM.

2.3.4. Surface Chemistry (XPS). The atomic composition of PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs was studied using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). XPS was performed using K-Alpha, Thermo Fisher Scientific USA. The binding energy between 100 and 800 eV was used to identify the elements on the surface of NPs. A drop of concentrated NP suspension was cast on a microscope slide and dried overnight under a vacuum. Finally, XPS analysis of the prepared samples was performed.

2.3.5. Degree of Folate Conjugation in the NPs. A multimode microplate reader was used to measure the amount of folate present in the PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs. Briefly, 200 µL of each nanoformulation were lyophilized and solubilized in a 4:1 mixture of DMSO: DCM. Afterward, samples were centrifuged before being vortexed for 6 h. Furthermore, UV–visible spectroscopy was employed to examine the filtered supernatant. The total amount of FOL in the PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs was calculated by using the calibration curve of FOL at \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\) of 282 nm. FOL amount in percentage was calculated using the provided formula:

\[
\text{Degree of folate conjugation} = \frac{\text{Amount of folate measured within NPs}}{\text{Total folate content used in NPs}} \times 100
\]

2.3.6. X-ray Diffraction Studies. Drug and excipient crystallinity and other physical changes after being converted into formulation were assessed by XRD. In addition, this analysis was utilized to

---

Table 1. Formulation of Different PLGA-Based Nanoparticles\(^{42}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>batches</th>
<th>PLGA (mg)</th>
<th>CS (mg)</th>
<th>TPGS (mg)</th>
<th>PCB (mg)</th>
<th>CS-FOL (mg)</th>
<th>MB (mg)</th>
<th>CM6 (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLGA-CS-NPs</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB-PLGA-CS-NPs</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM6-PLGA-CS-NPs</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8.75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM6-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.5. In Vitro Studies. 2.5.1. In Vitro Drug Release Study. The dialysis bag dispersion procedure was employed to determine drug release from pure PCB suspension and PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs at pH 7.4 and 5.5. A hermetically sealed dialysis bag 1 kDa was filled with the NPs suspension (1 mL) and gently stirred at 200 rpm at 37 ± 0.5 °C. PBS pH 7.4 (50 mL) and acetate buffer pH 5.5 (50 mL) were used as release media to resemble the acidic tumor microenvironment and the blood’s pH, respectively. The dialysis medium was replaced with fresh buffer at various intervals. Three-milliliter sampling was carried out at different time intervals, which were 0.08, 0.17, 0.3, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h, and an equivalent media volume was replenished to keep the sink in working order. The amount of emitted PCB in the collected samples was measured by using UV–vis spectroscopy. The time point vs % cumulative drug release graph was plotted.

2.5.2. In Vitro Safety Evaluation. 2.5.2.1. Blood Smear. Concisely, 5 mL of blood was obtained from a healthy person by vein puncturing. Following that, 100 μL of PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs, as well as 100 μL of each deionized water, physiological saline (PBS), and 0.3 mg/mL PCB as positive, negative, and drug controls, were incubated with 900 μL of human blood for 24 h at 4 °C. The prepared blood mixes were dropped and a blood smear was made using conventional preparation methods. The Leishman stain was used to observe the blood cells in air-dried smear samples. The slides were washed with the help of PBS (7.4 pH) to remove excess stains and then dried. Finally, air-dried samples were observed using bright-field microscopy.

2.5.2.2. Hemolytic Assay. The hemolysis assay was carried out with minimal modifications to the previously reported procedure. The hemocompatibility of PCB, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs in healthy individuals’ blood was evaluated using hemolytic analysis. Fresh human blood was collected and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant was removed, and a sterile isotonic solution was used to wash the settled red blood cells. The RBC-containing pellet was diluted with PBS 7.4 (1:10). Following that, 100 μL of RBC suspension was mixed with 900 μL of each of the test samples PCB, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs; 100 μL of RBC suspension was also mixed with 900 μL of each of the drug controls, were incubated with 900 μL of each of the test samples PCB, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs; 100 μL of each of the drug controls, were incubated with 900 μL of each of the test samples. The prepared blood mixes were dropped, and a blood smear was made using conventional preparation methods. The Leishman stain was used to observe the blood cells in air-dried smear samples. The slides were washed with the help of PBS (7.4 pH) to remove excess stains and then dried. Finally, air-dried samples were observed using bright-field microscopy.

2.5.3. Cellular Uptake Analysis. For the cellular uptake study, CM6 loaded formulations were used for the observing amount of nanoformulations that were uptaken by cells; briefly, 1 × 10^5 MCF-7 cells were seeded on a coverslip inside each well of a six-well plate and incubated overnight. After cells attachment to the coverslip, the cells were further treated with free CM6 and CM6-loaded formulations (CM6-PLGA-CS-NPs and CM6-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs) for 5 h. The cells were then washed with 1 mL of PBS and fixed with paraformaldehyde. Following that propidium iodide (PI) was added to cells and incubated for staining for 15 min. In addition, cells were visualized under a confocal microscope (CLSM, Leica, Germany).

2.5.4. Ao/EtBr Assay (Apoptosis Study). Using acridine orange (AO) and ethidium bromide (EtBr) dual staining, it was possible to distinguish between living and dead cells after determining the integrity of the cell membrane. This test can discriminate between healthy, early- and late-apoptotic as well as necrotic, cells. In brief, MCF-7 cells were seeded at a density of 5 × 10^4 cells per well in a 12-well culture plate and were incubated overnight for cell attachment. IC_{50} concentration of PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs (1.44 μg/mL) was employed to treat MCF-7 cells then kept incubated for 24 h. Lastly, an inverted fluorescence microscope (EVOS live cell imaging system, Amgen, USA) was used to observe the slides with the addition of ethidium bromide. The fluorescent mode. The same formula was employed to determine the percentage of hemolysis of PCB, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs.

%Hemolysis = \left( \frac{Abs\ Ts - Abs\ Nc}{Abs\ Pc - Abs\ Nc} \right) \times 100

where Abs Ts indicates test samples absorbance, Abs Nc denotes +ve control absorbance, and Abs Pc indicates +ve control absorbance.

2.5.3. Cellular Uptake Analysis. For the cellular uptake study, CM6 loaded formulations were used for the observing amount of nanoformulations that were uptaken by cells; briefly, 1 × 10^5 MCF-7 cells were seeded on a coverslip inside each well of a six-well plate and incubated overnight. After cells attachment to the coverslip, the cells were further treated with free CM6 and CM6-loaded formulations (CM6-PLGA-CS-NPs and CM6-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs) for 5 h. The cells were then washed with 1 mL of PBS and fixed with paraformaldehyde. Following that propidium iodide (PI) was added to cells and incubated for staining for 15 min. In addition, cells were visualized under a confocal microscope (CLSM, Leica, Germany).

2.5.4. Ao/EtBr Assay (Apoptosis Study). Using acridine orange (AO) and ethidium bromide (EtBr) dual staining, it was possible to distinguish between living and dead cells after determining the integrity of the cell membrane. This test can discriminate between healthy, early- and late-apoptotic as well as necrotic, cells. In brief, MCF-7 cells were seeded at a density of 5 × 10^4 cells per well in a 12-well culture plate and were incubated overnight for cell attachment. IC_{50} concentration of PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs (1.44 μg/mL) was employed to treat MCF-7 cells then kept incubated for 24 h. Lastly, an inverted fluorescence microscope (EVOS live cell imaging system, Amgen, USA) was used to observe the slides with the addition of ethidium bromide. The fluorescent mode. The same formula was employed to determine the percentage of hemolysis of PCB, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs.

%Hemolysis = \left( \frac{Abs\ Ts - Abs\ Nc}{Abs\ Pc - Abs\ Nc} \right) \times 100

where Abs Ts indicates test samples absorbance, Abs Nc denotes +ve control absorbance, and Abs Pc indicates +ve control absorbance.
Table 2. Average Particle Size ($Z_{avg}$), Polydispersity Index (PDI), Zeta Potential (ZP), and Drug Entrapment Efficiency (EE) of Formulated NPs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>batches</th>
<th>$Z_{avg}$ (nm) (mean ± SD*)</th>
<th>PDI (mean ± SD*)</th>
<th>ZP (mV) (mean ± SD*)</th>
<th>EE (%) (mean ± SD*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLGA-CS-NPs</td>
<td>212.3 ± 5.3</td>
<td>0.128 ± 0.08</td>
<td>14.21 ± 2.1</td>
<td>80.96 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs</td>
<td>214.7 ± 3.4</td>
<td>0.138 ± 0.02</td>
<td>15.27 ± 3.6</td>
<td>85.78 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs</td>
<td>218.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.185 ± 0.02</td>
<td>22.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>83.58 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB-PLGA-CS-NPs</td>
<td>208.3 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.156 ± 0.03</td>
<td>16.5 ± 3.2</td>
<td>86.04 ± 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs</td>
<td>210.4 ± 5.2</td>
<td>0.160 ± 0.05</td>
<td>23.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>80.23 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM6-PLGA-CS-NSPs</td>
<td>206.2 ± 7.4</td>
<td>0.154 ± 0.09</td>
<td>19.2 ± 2.3</td>
<td>82.36 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM6-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs</td>
<td>209.2 ± 5.3</td>
<td>0.125 ± 0.04</td>
<td>26.43 ± 1.5</td>
<td>82.36 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Equipment from Life Technologies) was used to take pictures in the green and red channels after staining the cells with Ao/EtBr. 2.5.5. In Vitro Cytotoxicity Assay. Cytotoxicity analysis of PCB, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs was assessed by a standard MTT assay on the MCF-7 cell line (breast cancer cell line). MCF-7 cells were seeded into 96-well plates with DMEM at a density of 1 × 10^5 cells per well and then cultured overnight for cells to get attached to the flask. The media was changed, and the cells were treated with the different concentrations of PCB, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs and then incubated for 24 h. Afterward, the media in each well were replaced with 100 $\mu$L of MTT solution (0.5 mg/mL of MTT) and then incubated for 2 h at 37 °C. 100 $\mu$L of DMSO was added to the well plates to dissolve the formed formazan crystals. Furthermore, hematoxylin and eosin dyes were used for the staining of prepared sections. Light microscopy (Dewinter microscope) was used to observe the histopathological alterations, and Capture Pro 4.1 software was used to record the images. 2.6.2. In Vivo Anti-Tumor Activity by Ultrasound and Photoacoustic Imaging. Photoacoustic and ultrasound imaging was performed on 3–4-month-old Sprague–Dawley rats to visualize tumor volume and hypoxia level changes. First, a 50 mg/kg body weight dose of DMB (cancer inducer) was injected into the breast pad of SD rats to produce breast cancer tumors. The photoacoustic and ultrasound imaging was used to confirm the formation of a tumor. SD rats were then divided into 4 groups, each containing 3 animals. All animals were administered a 5.9 mg/kg dose three times at intervals of 3 days. Group 1 received saline control; Group 2 was treated with drug (PCB) control; Group 3 was treated with PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and Group 4 was treated with PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs. After each dose, photoacoustic and ultrasound imaging of these animals was performed to visualize the tumor volume and hypoxia level changes. Concisely, rats were sedated with 1.5% isoflurane, and a colorless aqueous warmed ultrasonic gel (Supragel, LCH, France) was inserted between the transducer and skin without any air bubbles. The Visual Sonics Vevo LAZR X System (FUJIFILM Visual Sonics Inc., Canada) was used to image tumors. The tumor volume and hypoxia level were measured at regular intervals. 3D scans of ultrasound images were digitally captured. Coronal plane tumor margins were manually defined by using Vevo1LAB 1.7.2 software. The volume of each coronal slice was then determined by using the software. The hypoxic volumes were evaluated using photoacoustic imaging in OxyHemo-Mode. 2.6.3. In Vivo Tumor Targeting Efficiency. SD rats weighing 200–250 g were used for this experiment. Breast cancer was induced in these rats with the help of DMB. Animals were then randomly divided into 3 groups each containing 3 animals ($n = 3$). Group 1 animals received pure MB, group 2 animals were given MB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and group 3 animals were administered MB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs at a 12 $\mu$g/kg dose. Concisely, rats were sedated with 1.5% isoflurane, and a colorless aqueous warmed ultrasonic gel (Supragel, LCH, France) was inserted between the transducer and skin without any air bubbles. The Visual Sonics Vevo LAZR X System (FUJIFILM Visual Sonics Inc., Canada) was used to image tumors. Photoacoustic excitation was carried out at an optical wavelength of 664 nm. 3D scans of ultrasound images were digitally captured. Software version Vevo1LAB 1.7.2 was used to process the data. The photoacoustic signal was captured before and after the injection of the MB control. Additionally, rats received an intravenous infusion of MB-loaded NPs spaced 30 min apart. The accumulation of MB-loaded NPs and MB control at the site of the breast tumor 30 min post i.v. injection was monitored by photoacoustic and ultrasound images.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Evaluation of CS-FOL. 3.1.1. FTIR Spectroscopy. The functional groups of the synthesized CS-FOL were identified by investigating the FTIR absorption spectra (Figure S1). The FTIR spectrum of CS exhibits a wide peak in the range of 3662−3107 cm⁻¹, indicating the presence of a hydroxy group that could potentially be combined with NH stretching. In contrast, other characteristic peaks were observed at 2916 cm⁻¹ for C−H stretching, 1313 cm⁻¹ for C−O stretching, and 1043 cm⁻¹ for C−O−C stretching. On the other hand, the FTIR spectrum of FOL displays additional peaks at 1487, 1662, and 838 cm⁻¹, which are associated with C==C aromatic, C==O, and para-disubstituted benzene ring, respectively. However, the peaks related to the C−O and C−O−C stretch were absent. Interestingly, as shown in the figure, the peaks corresponding to the functional groups of CS and FOL are identified in the FTIR spectra of CS-FOL, with minor shifts and variations in the peak intensity.

The FTIR spectra of CS-FOL displayed absorption frequencies of the OH stretch, potentially coupled by an NH stretch band between 3662 and 3072 cm⁻¹ with a higher intensity than that of CS (Table S1). The absorption frequencies observed at 1616, 1488, 1337, and 1044 cm⁻¹ were identified to be functional groups C==O, C==C, C−O, and C−O−C, respectively. CS-FOL and FOL displayed bending vibrational peaks for primary and secondary amines, whereas CS showed peaks for only primary amines, consistent with their respective chemical structures. Furthermore, the intensity of the peak within the range of 800 to 500 cm⁻¹ appears to be higher than that of CS which could be attributed to the combination with the peaks linked to the fingerprint region of FOL, such as those associated with para-disubstituted benzene ring. In conclusion, the chemical structure of CS-FOL was confirmed by detecting its associated spectral features, indicating successful conjugation with FOL.

3.1.2. Amount of FOL Conjugation. UV spectroscopy was used to determine the extent of FOL replacement to chitosan, and the outcomes showed that the extent of FOL modification was approximately 60.37 ± 1.56%.

3.2. Nanoparticle Characterization. 3.2.1. Particle Size, Polydispersity Index, and Zeta Potential of NPs. The sizes, PDI, and ZP of different PLGA NPs are illustrated in Table 2. The particle size of formulated NPs was in the range of 206.2 ± 7.4−218.9 ± 1.8 nm. The inclusion of CS-FOL on the outermost layer of NPs caused considerable enhancement in the size of particles (p < 0.05). The ZP of PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs was found to be 15.27 ± 3.6 and 22.8 ± 1.9 mV, respectively. The elevation in the ZP of PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs was due to the addition of positively charged CS-FOL on the outermost layer of NPs.

3.2.2. Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FE-SEM). The size and morphology of PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs were determined using FE-SEM (FEI Pvt. Ltd., USA). The prepared NPs exhibited a circular shape, were monodispersed, and had a smooth surface, as shown in Figure 1A. All the nanoformulations were nearly 200 nm in size and free of cracks and other noticeable defects. Additionally, the DLS analysis of particle size matches the outcomes from FE-SEM.

3.2.3. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). The morphology and shape of developed nanoformulations were evaluated by employing TEM. TEM images of both NPs with nearly 200 nm size are shown in Figure 1B. The obtained data depicted that individual NPs had round morphology with no obvious surface imperfections such as pits and cracks. Images showed monodispersed spherical NPs ranging nearly 200 nm scale. The SAD images for PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs revealed diffuse rings, suggesting their amorphous nature.

3.2.4. XPS Analysis. The elemental composition of the developed formulations was investigated using XPS analysis. The obtained XPS data demonstrated the expected peaks for components N, O, and C, as presented in Figure 2A, B. The peaks observed at binding energies of 286−285, 400−399, and 538−532 eV were for C₁s, N₁s, and O₁s, respectively. The percentage values of C₁s, N₁s, and O₁s in PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs were 57.99, 2.61, and 39.30%, respectively, whereas, for PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs, these were 64.4, 2.71, and 32.99%.

3.2.5. Amount of Folate in the NPs. The amount of folate in the NPs was evaluated by using UV−visible spectrophotometry, and the FOL content was found to be 64.54, 50.45, and 57.78% respectively.

3.2.6. X-ray Diffraction. The physical state of the NPs was analyzed by employing XRD. Figure 3A shows the XRD overlay spectrum of chitosan, folic acid, chitosan−folate, TPGS, and the outcomes showed that the extent of FOL modification was approximately 60.37 ± 1.56%.
PLGA, PCB, optimized PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs. Sharp peaks in the PCB and FA XRD spectra revealed the substance’s crystalline form. PCB showed sharp peaks at 2θ values of 7.88°, 10.11°, 11.45°, 17.10°, 19.62°, 22.59°; however, the FOL showed peaks at 2θ values of 10.70°, 13.08°, 16.35°, 26.89°, and 27.78°. The prominent peaks were also detected in the XRD spectrum of chitosan and TPGS, but peaks were less intense, demonstrating their semicrystallinity. The broad peaks were detected in the XRD spectra of PLGA, chitosan, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs, revealing the amorphous nature of these materials. The peaks observed in the XRD spectra of PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs were different from the XRD peaks of PCB, revealing entrapment of the drug within the formulations. A broad peak in the PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs spectra suggests that the crystalline nature of FOL has been reduced and converted into an amorphous state.

3.2.7. Differential Scanning Calorimetry. The heating characteristics of NPs containing PCB were evaluated by using DSC. Figure 2C depicts the thermograms of PCB, PLGA, TPGS, CS, FOL, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs. The endothermic peaks of CS, FA, and CS-FOL were detected at 212.16, 114.7, and 281.39 °C, respectively. PCB displayed a sharp endothermic peak at 266.74 °C. However, the endothermic peak of PCB was not detected in PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs, indicating successful entrapment of PCB within the nanoformulation.

3.2.8. TGA Analysis. TGA measures a sample’s weight variation as a function of temperature. Additionally, the compound stability is discussed. This determines the maximum temperature at which the compound is stable. As illustrated in Figure 2D, CS, FOL, CS-FOL, PLGA, PCB, physical mixture, TPGS, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs lose 98.06, 98.07, 99.32, 98.09, 99.44, 96.02, 97.06, 98.06, and 97.08% of their initial weight between 25 and 500 °C, respectively. The PCB exhibited an initial reduction in weight at a temperature of 299.93 °C. A gradual
A reduction in weight of 42.89% was observed within the temperature range of 300−431.03 °C. Subsequently, a decrease in weight of 9.93% was noted within the range of 432.74−494.52. The PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs exhibit an initial weight reduction of 0.37% at a temperature of 46.16 °C, which can be attributed to water loss. Subsequent to this, a reduction in mass of 59.73% was noted within the temperature range of 171.22−323.30 °C, which was attributed to the degradation of the PLGA, CS, and TPGS polymers. A reduction in weight of 17.31% was observed during the period of 326.49−381.89, which can be attributed to the degradation of PCB.

Furthermore, a reduction in weight of 13.85% was noted within the temperature range of 383.49 to 496.01. PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs result in an initial weight reduction of 0.34% at a temperature of 45.02 °C, which can be attributed to the loss of water. A gradual reduction in weight of 60.45% was noted during the temperature range of 165.18−299.36 °C, which can be attributed to the degradation of PCB. Furthermore, a reduction in weight of 13.85% was noted within the range of 383.49 to 496.01. PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs result in an initial weight reduction of 0.34% at a temperature of 46.16 °C, which can be attributed to water loss. A reduction in weight of 59.73% was noted within the temperature range of 171.22−323.30 °C, which was attributed to the degradation of the PLGA, CS, and TPGS polymers. A reduction in weight of 17.31% was observed during the period of 326.49−381.89, which can be attributed to the degradation of PCB.

An additional reduction in weight of 11.54% was noted within the temperature range of 380−495.89 °C. Moreover, 50% weight loss was observed at 279 °C (CS), 344 °C (PLGA), 459.87 °C (PCB), 413.58 °C (CS-FOL), 495.3 °C (FOL), 385.77 °C (TPGS), 296.51 °C (physical mixture), 299.47 °C (PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs) and 275.19 °C (PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs), indicating the high thermal stability of PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs.

3.2.9. Entrapment Efficiency (EE). The EE of PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs was found to be 80.96 ± 1.7 and 85.78 ± 1.8%, respectively (Table 2). There was a slight increase in PCB EE in the case of targeted NPs due to the conjugation of CS-FOL on the surfaces of NPs. The EE of MB-loaded targeted (MB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs) and non-targeted (MB-PLGA-CS-NPs) NPs were 83.58 ± 1.3 and 86.04 ± 1.7%, respectively. In contrast, EE of CM6-loaded nontargeted (CM6-PLGA-CS-NPs) and targeted (CM6-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs) NPs was found to be 80.23 ± 1.5 and 82.36 ± 1.6%, respectively (Table 2).

3.3. Stability Studies. Long-term stability experiments revealed no discernible variation in PDI or particle sizes when PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs were stored at 25 and 2−5 °C. As with the stability data provided in Figure 4C−F, no discernible alteration in particle sizes was found between freshly synthesized and stored NPs, revealing the long-term stability of prepared NPs. Moreover, agglomeration in the stored sample was not observed after visual examination.

3.4. In Vitro Analysis. 3.4.1. In Vitro Release Profile. In vitro drug release profiles of the PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs were performed at both pH 5.5 and
pH 7.4. (Figure 3B, C). The release study at pH 7.4 revealed 30.81% of PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and 25.86% of PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs drug release in the initial 2 h, and by the end of 8 h, 55.2 and 44.3% of drug release were observed. A total of 98.78% of drug release from PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and 93.54% of drug releases from PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs were observed at 72 h. The release study at pH 5.5 showed 49.02% of PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and 60.77% of PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs drug release in initial 2 h and 68.77%, and by the end of 8 h, 76.33% of the drug was released. At 72 h, 95.40 and 97.06% of drug release was observed. Therefore, PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs displayed a greater sustained-release profile than PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and drug release at pH 5.5 was more rapid than that at pH 7.4. It was done due to significant interaction between drug and polymer, and including a targeting ligand (CS-Folate) in PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs may have hampered drug release. Furthermore, the PCB release profiles were smooth and continuous in the simulated physiological settings, indicating mixed-order kinetics behavior. T_{50} represents the time taken to release 50% of the loaded drug from nanoformulation. The T_{50}
of PCB and different formulations at pH 5.5 and pH 7.4 are presented in Figure 3D. It was observed that T[50] of the targeted NPs was higher than nontargeted at pH 7.4, which was mainly due to the presence of chitosan-folate in the targeted formulation that has lower solubility at pH 7.4. We have observed that targeted NPs had faster release and lower T[50] compared to the nontargeted NPs at pH 5.5. This release pattern favors the treatment of cancer due to the acidic microenvironment of cancer cells.54

3.4.2. In Vitro Safety Evaluation. 3.4.2.1. Blood Smear. NPs may alter hematological parameters or trigger immunologic responses. As a result, hematological aspects were examined to determine the possible interaction or compatibility of PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs using phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 as a negative control and distilled water as a positive control. Following the independent incubation of each sample, a bright field microscope with a 40× magnification was used to examine the blood smear. Light microscopy images of blood smears treated with PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs revealed that the size and shape of the blood cells were not significantly altered (Figure 4B).

3.4.2.2. Hemolysis Study. The hemocompatibility of the developed nanoformulations with the blood was evaluated. The % hemolysis induced by the PCB, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs was found to be 3.214 ± 1.04, 2.474 ± 0.98, and 1.682 ± 0.78%, respectively. The outcomes (Figure 4A) revealed the safety of PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs in human blood.

3.4.3. Cellular Uptake Analysis. Multiple studies have demonstrated that the internalization and accumulation of nanomaterials within cells play substantial roles in inducing cell death. To gauge the uptake of CM6-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs by cancer cells, we incorporated a fluorescent dye named CM6 into these NPs. Then, we measured the intensity of green fluorescence emitted by CM6 within cells to assess the degree of cellular uptake. Confocal microscopy images from the green channel confirmed the presence of internalized CM6-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs. The pathways through which cells internalize nanoparticles significantly influence the efficacy of drug delivery systems. There are three primary mechanisms involved in the cellular uptake of nanoparticles: endocytosis,
macropinocytosis (fluid-phase endocytosis), and receptor-mediated endocytosis. Figure 5A shows MCF-7 cells after being incubated with free CM6, CM6-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs and CM6-PLGA-CS-NPs. The NPs localization in the cell’s cytoplasm was more evident in the case of CM6-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs than in the free CM6 and CM6-PLGA-CS-NPs. According to our investigation, CM6-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs treated cells have a higher cellular formulation absorption than CM6 alone and CM6-PLGA-CS-NPs treated cells in the MCF-7 cell line (Figure 5B). When MCF-7 cells, which were overexpressed with folate receptors, were pretreated with free folate molecules, these molecules probably occupied the binding sites on the cell surface receptors. This competition notably decreased the receptor-mediated endocytosis of CM6-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs.

3.4.4. Ao/EtBr Assay (Apoptosis Study). A fluorescence microscopy technique was utilized to observe the morphological features and mechanism of cellular death in MCF-7 produced by PCB, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs after tagging with AO/EtBr dyes. Figure 6 represents the cellular morphology of the MCF-7 cells following PCB and different formulations. The cytoplasm and nucleus of control MCF-7 (alive and healthy) cells exhibited green fluorescence and normal morphology. Leaving control wells untreated, each well in the plate (PCB, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs) is treated with the IC_{50} concentration of PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs. The cells incubated with PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs show nuclear morphological modifications, chromatin condensation, and nucleus fragmentation. No such significant changes were observed in the wells of cells treated with PCB. Our results show that PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs strongly induce apoptosis in MCF-7 cells compared with PCB drugs.

3.4.5. Cytotoxicity Analysis. An essential technique for evaluating the potential cytotoxic properties of NPs on tumor cells is the assessment of NP-based drug delivery systems using...
cellular viability assays. This assay helps identify the formulations necessary to eliminate 50% of cancer cell populations by assessing their cellular viability. Developing a deeper understanding of NPs’ cytotoxicity profiles enables the creation of more effective anticancer therapies. The cytotoxicity assay performed on MCF-7 shows a significant suppression of the proliferation by PCB, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs. The findings demonstrate that these formulations had a concentration-dependent effect on the viability of the cells (Figure 7A). The IC\textsubscript{50} of the PCB, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs for MCF-7 was obtained at 41.6 ± 0.8, 7.55 ± 1.5, and 1.44 ± 1.9 μg/mL (Figure 7B). The results suggest that the formulation of PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs is more effective compared to PCB and PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs.

3.4.6. Reactive Oxygen Species Analysis. ROS are essentially potent oxidants that have the ability to induce cell death by increasing intracellular reactive radicals that contribute to oxidative damage to lipids, proteins, and DNA. PCB induces a notable increase in ROS levels within the tumor by obstructing the cyclin D3-CDK6 pathway and diminishing the flux of glucose-derived carbon into the pentose phosphate and serine synthesis pathways.\textsuperscript{65,66} PCB, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs effectiveness in the generation of ROS was examined (Figure 8A). In comparison to the control, PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs possess the higher ability to generate ROS production among the other treated group (PCB and PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs) with an IC\textsubscript{50} concentration of PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs that is 1.44 μg/mL (Figure 8B). Therefore, based on the data available, PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs have more potential for ROS generation than PCB and PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs in MCF-7 cells, which could cause damage to cells and initiate apoptosis.

Intracellular ROS was measured using DCFH-DA. Cells treated with PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs displayed increased intracellular ROS activity in the MCF-7 cell line compared to the control, cells treated with PCB, and cells treated with PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs.

![Histological examination of the sections of vital organs such as the heart, liver, and kidney of SD rats after administration of saline, PCB, nontargeted (PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs) and targeted NPs (PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs). Scale in black showing 100 μm.](image1)

![Ultrasound imaging showing breast tumor prior and after administration of PCB, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs. Images were acquired by using B-mode of the Vivov 3100. Red circle showing tumor location.](image2)
3.5. In Vivo Analysis. 3.5.1. Histopathological Analysis. 
After 15 days of IV injection of the saline, PCB, nontargeted (PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs) and targeted (PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs) formulations containing the dose of 5.9 mg/kg at the interval of 3 days three times into Sprague–Dawley rats, standard hematoxylin-eosin (H and E) staining was used to assess the morphological properties of the collected heart, liver, and kidney tissues (Figure 9). The control (normal saline solution) treated group in this investigation exhibited no pathological abnormalities in the heart, liver, or kidney. The organs are less damaged in the nontargeted formulation-treated group than those in the PCB control group. Organs such as the heart, liver, and kidney suffer less damage in the targeted formulation-treated group compared to the PCB and nontargeted groups. As a result, the biosafety of PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs was demonstrated compared with a commercially available PCB control.

3.5.2. In Vivo Anti-Tumor Activity by Ultrasound and Photoacoustic Imaging. Photoacoustic and ultrasonic imaging was used to analyze rats with chemically induced breast tumors at four different time points: day 0 before the medication and days 3, 6, and 10 after medication. The breast tumor’s ultrasound imaging prior to and after PCB, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs therapy is shown in Figure 10. The research findings indicate a noteworthy decrease in the dimensions of breast tumors after the treatment with targeted NPs. On the other hand, the rats who were not treated and received only saline solution demonstrated a growth in tumor size. However, the group that received only PCB treatment did not exhibit appreciable tumor growth, suggesting that the tumors had stabilized or remained static. In addition, nontargeted PLGA nanoparticles show a small decrease in tumor size (Figure 11B). Hypoxia in tissues happens when cells do not get enough oxygen, which hinders biological functions. Hypoxia is a prevalent characteristic in

Figure 11. (A) Ultrasound/photoacoustic imaging showing hypoxic tumor volume prior and after administration of PCB, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs, (B) % tumor volume reduction, (C) % hypoxic tumor volume reduction, and (D) % tumor vascularity of breast tumor. The yellow circle shows the hypoxic tumor region, the red color represents oxygenated blood, and the blue color represents deoxygenated blood.
solid malignant tumors, resulting from insufficient oxygen supply within a range of 70 to 150 \( \mu \)m from the tumor's vascular system. The oxygen deficit is caused by the fast diversification of cancer cells, leading to a situation in which cells are devoid of the essential oxygen required for regular functioning. Hypoxic breast tumors are challenging to treat because their low oxygen content not only affects the tumor cells themselves but also alters the surrounding tissue, creating an environment that is resistant to standard cancer treatments, such as radiation and chemotherapy. Hypoxic tumor volume prior to and after PCB, PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs therapy is depicted in Figure 11A, C. The investigation revealed that targeted NPs could considerably reduce hypoxic tumors compared to nontargeted NPs and pure PCB.

For tumors to continue growing, they need a steady supply of nutrients, which prompts a process called angiogenesis to form new blood vessels both outside and inside the tumor. The administration of PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs in this experiment yielded a significant outcome. At first, this medication halted the progress of angiogenesis and then showed a steady decrease with time. On the 10th day of therapy, a significant reduction in angiogenesis was detected in the group that received PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs, as shown in Figure 12A. By the 6th day, the group treated with PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs had a smaller decrease in the tumor vasculature. Nevertheless, on the 10th day, this group demonstrated a noteworthy reduction in tumor angiogenesis. In addition, a group of participants who received only PCB medication in an experimental setting exhibited a modest reduction in the level of tumor angiogenesis. In contrast to these findings, tumor vasculature gradually increased during the 10 days in the control group of rats (Figure 11D). This investigation demonstrates the capacity of PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs to effectively decrease tumor angiogenesis in comparison to other therapies and the natural growth observed in the control group.

3.5.3. In Vivo Tumor Targeting Efficiency. Photoacoustic pictures of the rat's breast tumors were used to visualize the in vivo distribution of the MB-loaded NPs and MB control after 30 min of intravenous treatment (Figure 12B). The green photoacoustic emissions exposed the existence of the NPs. The breast tumor in the rat model induced with DMBA, treated with MB control, MB-PLGA-CS-NPs, and MB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs, exhibited a photoacoustic signal. The research revealed a considerable accumulation of MB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs in the breast tumor in comparison to MB-PLGA-CS-NPs and free MB.
4. CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we effectively developed and evaluated chitosan folate-targeted PCB-entrapped PLGA nanoparticles for breast cancer imaging and therapy. The solvent evaporation method was used to prepare nontargeted and targeted formulations. Folate receptor-targeted formulation was prepared for improved and targeted therapy of breast cancer. First, we prepared cross-linked chitosan-folate conjugate by using carbodiimide chemistry. CS-FOL conjugation was confirmed by FTIR. The optimized nanoformulations were characterized using DLS, SEM, and TEM for their physicochemical properties, including the particle size, shape, morphology, etc. Z$_{avg}$, PDI, ZP, and EE of the prepared nanoformulation were found to be in an acceptable range. We also performed XPS, XRD, DSC, and TGA for the surface chemistry and excipient compatibility. In vitro, release confirmation confirmed the regulated and long-lasting release of the produced formulation compared to pure PCB.

The hemolytic assay was carried out to check the safety of formulation in human blood, and results showed that prepared nanoformulations were nonhemolytic in human blood. After incubation with the formulations, the blood cell morphology was examined by using a blood smear, and the outcomes revealed that the shape and size of the cells were not substantially changed. We also performed in vitro cellular uptake studies, which showed enhanced uptake of CM6-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs compared to free CM6 and CM6-PLGA-CS-NPs. Storage stability studies revealed that PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs are stable at 25 and 2–8°C. The IC$_{10}$ values of PCB-PLGA-CS-NPs and PCB-PLGA-CS-FOL-NPs toward the breast cancer cell line were determined to be 7.55 ± 1.5 and 1.44 ± 1.9 µg/mL, respectively. Histopathological examination of sections of vital organs, kidney, heart, and liver of SD rats after treatment with control, drug, nontargeted, and targeted formulation was done. Histopathological images revealed the safety of prepared nanoformulations. Furthermore, in vivo ultrasound and photoacoustic imaging studies demonstrated the targeting efficiencies and therapeutic potential of the developed formulations and were capable of treating hypoxic breast tumors in rat models. In conclusion, PCB-loaded chitosan folate-targeted PLGA nanoparticles can be potential candidates for breast cancer imaging and therapy.
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